

2002, Volume 41

Nita Sahai* and John A. Tossell: ^{29}Si NMR Shifts and Relative Stabilities Calculated for Hypercoordinated Silicon–Polyalcohol Complexes: Role in Sol–Gel and Biogenic Silica Synthesis

Pages 750–755. Minus signs are missing from many values in Tables 1–4, and some of the formulas in these tables are incorrect. The correct tables are presented here.

Page 750. In the right-hand column of text, in the second new paragraph, the formula referring to Figure 1e should be $[(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2)_5^{\text{P}}\text{Si}_2]^{2-}$.

Page 751. In the left-hand column of text, in the first new paragraph, the formula referring to Figure 1d should be

$[(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2)_3^{\text{P}}\text{SiH}]^-$ and the formula referring to Figure 1f should be $(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2)_3^{\text{Q}}\text{SiH}_2$. In the right-hand column of text, the formula referring to Figure 2e should be $[\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_3(\text{OH})^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3]$ and the shift value for Figure 2f should be -73.8 ppm.

Page 752. The formula in Figure 1a should be $[(\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_4)_2^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}]$, the formula in Figure 1e should be $[(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2)_5^{\text{P}}\text{Si}_2]^{2-}$, and the formula in the caption for Figure 1f should be $[(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2)_3^{\text{Q}}\text{SiH}_2]$.

Page 753. In the left-hand column of text, the formula referring to Figure 2j should be $[\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_4^{\text{H}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$.

Page 754. The formula in the caption for Figure 2j should be $[\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_4^{\text{H}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$.

Page 755. In footnote 40, the correct spelling of the second author's last name is Pfeleiderer.

Table 1. Comparison of NMR Shifts Calculated for Geometries Optimized in the Gas-Phase and in Continuum Media Representing Water and Toluene^a

model complex	δ_{calc} (ppm)		
	gas phase	SCRF water ($\epsilon = 78.5$)	SCRF toluene ($\epsilon = 2.379$)
$(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2)_2^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}$	-44.0	-44.0	-44.0
$(\text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O}_3)_2^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}$	-83.4	-82.5	-83.0
$(\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_4)_2^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}$	-75.0	-74.0	-74.0
$[\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2^{\text{P}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3]^-$	-116.2	-116.9	-117.7
$[\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_4^{\text{P}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3]^-$	-129.2	-128.3	-128.8

^a SCRF represents the Onsager self-consistent reaction field continuum solvation model.

Table 2. ^{29}Si NMR Isotropic Shifts and Anisotropic Shifts ($\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$) Reported in Parts per Million with Respect to TMS Standard, for Silicon–Polyalcohol Spirocyclic Complexes^a

model complex	ligand: Si ratio	δ_{expt}	δ_{calc} , HF/6-311+G(2d,p)	δ_{calc} , B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p)	$ \sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11} ^b$, HF/6-311+G(2d,p)
Effect of Ring Size, $^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}$					
$(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2)_2^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}$	2:1	-43.6 ^c	-44.0	-42.2	46.9
$(\text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O}_3)_2^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}$	2:1	-81.7 ^{c-e}	-83.4	-83.4	31.7
$(\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_4)_2^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}$	2:1	-81.6 ^{c-e}	-75.0	-75.0	8.8
Effect of Ring Size and Hypercoordination, $^{\text{P}}\text{Si}$					
$[(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2)_2^{\text{P}}\text{SiOH}]^-$	2:1	-102.7 ^e	-108.9	-100.3	102.7
$[(\text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O}_3)_2^{\text{P}}\text{SiOH}]^-$	2:1	-130.4 ^e	-140.3	-144.1	133.9
$[(\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_4)_2^{\text{P}}\text{SiOH}]^-$	2:1	-130.8, -131.1 ^e	-133.3	-133.6	127.9
Effect of Decreasing Ligand: $^{\text{P}}\text{Si}$ Ratio at Fixed Ring Size					
$[(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2)_3^{\text{P}}\text{SiH}]^-$	3:1	-103, -104, -105 ^f	-110.2		81.7
$[(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2)_5^{\text{P}}\text{Si}_2]^{2-}$	5:2	-103, -104, -105 ^f	-114.4, -114.4		76.8, 90.9
Effect of Protonating an $\text{O}_{\text{br}}^{\text{g}}$					
$[(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2)_3^{\text{P}}\text{SiH}_2]_{\text{bidentate}} \rightarrow$ $[(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2)_3^{\text{Q}}\text{SiH}_2]_{\text{monodentate}}$	3:1		-64.7		33.2
Standard					
$^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_4$ (TMS)			0.0 ($\sigma = 386.0$)	0.0 ($\sigma = 327.9$)	0.0

^a Geometries were obtained at the HF/6-31G* level. Experimental values for isotropic shifts are included for error estimation on our calculated values. ^b Anisotropic shifts reported are the absolute value of the difference between the maximum and the minimum eigenvalues of the shielding tensor. ^c Reference 35. ^d Assigned to polymeric Si spirocyclic complex in ref 35. ^e Values for the analogous dialato complexes. ^f Reference 21. ^g O_{br} represents a bridging oxygen.

ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS

Table 3. ^{29}Si HF/6-311+G(2d,p) NMR Isotropic Shifts and Anisotropic Shifts ($|\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}|$) Reported with Respect to TMS Standard, for Silicon–Polyalcohol Monocyclic Complexes^a

model complex	δ (ppm)	$ \sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11} ^b$ (ppm)
Effect of Ring Size, $^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}$		
$\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_2$	-57.2	24.5
$\text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O}_3^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_2$	-77.0	42.5
$\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_4^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_2$	-75.2	35.6
$\text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}\text{O}_4^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4$	-71.2	22.0
Effect of Ring Size and Hypercoordination		
$[\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2^{\text{P}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3]^-$	-116.9	130.6
$[\text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O}_3^{\text{P}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3]^-$	-130.4	139.0
$[\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_4^{\text{P}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3]^-$ (I) Si bonded to O on C ₁ , C ₄	-128.3 ^c	140.8
$[\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_4^{\text{P}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3]^-$ (II) Si bonded to O on C ₁ , C ₂	-117.3	124.4
$[\text{C}_3\text{H}_{10}\text{O}_5^{\text{P}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3]^-$	-128.0	137.5
$[\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_4^{\text{H}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4]^{2-}$ hexacoordinated Si	-179.9 ^d	8.4
Effect of Protonating an O_{br}		
$\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}(\text{OH})^{\text{P}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3$ (bidentate) \rightarrow $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}(\text{OH})^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3$ (monodentate)	-75.0	14.3
$[\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_3(\text{OH})^{\text{P}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3]$ (I , bidentate) \rightarrow $[\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_3(\text{OH})^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3]$ (I , monodentate)	-75.2	21.7
$[\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_3(\text{OH})^{\text{P}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3]$ (II , bidentate) \rightarrow $[\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_3(\text{OH})^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3]$ (II , monodentate)	-73.8	17.3
Effect of Chelate Denticity		
$[\text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{O}_4^{\text{P}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_2]^-$ Si bonded to O on C ₁ , C ₂ , C ₄ (tridentate ligand)	-122.4	101.7
Effect of Polymerization, $^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}$ and $^{\text{P}}\text{Si}$		
$(\text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O}_2)_3^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}_3\text{O}_3$	-96.9	32.8
$[(\text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O}_2)_3^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}_2\text{O}_2^{\text{P}}\text{SiO}(\text{OH})]^-$	-87.5, -90.3, -143.1 (mean = -107.0)	61.1, 97.2, 42.6
Standard		
$^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_4$ (TMS)	0.0 ($\sigma = 386.0$)	0.0

^a Geometries were obtained at the HF/6-31G* level. ^b Anisotropic shifts reported refer to the absolute value of the difference between the maximum and the minimum eigenvalues of the shielding tensor. ^c This structure was proposed to explain a ^{29}Si resonance seen at -102 to -103 ppm in an experimental study of aqueous silicon–polyalcohol solutions.²² ^d This structure was proposed to explain a ^{29}Si resonance seen at -145 to -147 ppm.²²

Table 4. Reaction Energies (kcal mol⁻¹) for Polyol–Silicate Complex Formation, at the HF/6-31G* Level in the Gas Phase and in a SCRF Representing Solvation^a

no.	reaction	$\Delta E_{\text{r, gas}}$	$\Delta H_{\text{r, gas}}^0 = \Delta E_{\text{r, gas}} + \Delta H_{\text{therm}}$	$\Delta E_{\text{r, SCRF}}$	$\Delta H_{\text{r, SCRF}}^0 = \Delta E_{\text{r, SCRF}} + \Delta H_{\text{therm}}$
1	$\text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}\text{O}_4 + \text{Si}(\text{OH})_4 = \text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}\text{O}_4^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4$	-8.91 (-7.34)	-7.78 (-6.21)	-9.10 (-7.53)	-7.97 (-6.40)
2	$\text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}\text{O}_4 + \text{Si}(\text{OH})_4 = \text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_4^{\text{Q}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	+6.59	+5.96	-11.99	-12.61
3	$\text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}\text{O}_4 + \text{Si}(\text{OH})_4 = [\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_4^{\text{P}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3]^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}^+$	+354.84	+351.14	+33.26	+29.56
4	$\text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}\text{O}_4 + \text{Si}(\text{OH})_3\text{O}^- = [\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_4^{\text{P}}\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3]^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	-13.18	-11.36	-21.15	-19.33

^a Values in parentheses are corrected for basis set superposition error (BSSE). BSSE and thermal contributions were estimated elsewhere.³⁰ (1 Hartree = 627.6 kcal mol⁻¹.)

IC020151Y

10.1021/ic020151y

Published on Web 03/12/2002